

MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF SURGICAL DISPOSABLE ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2024-25 HELD ON 16-08-2024 AT 10:00 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE CELL QAUID-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
01	M/S Eastern Medical Care, Lahore	Item No. 84 Latex Examination Gloves (Box of 100's) Item No. 85 Latex Gloves without powder Item No. 119 Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8 Item No. 120 Disposable latex surgical gloves sterilized (powder free)	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided ;- For item No. 84 as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 85 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 85. For item No. 119 & 120 the committee sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report (sample rejected it under sized ill fitted), the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee
02	M/S Sind Medical Store, Karachi	Item No. 39 Disposable Syringe 01cc Insulin ē Needle Item No. 42 Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle Item No. 43 Disposable Syringe 20cc Item No. 44 Disposable Syringe 50cc	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user. Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & registration not attached.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided ;- For item No. 42 & 43 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 39 & 44 the committee sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
03	M/S Nisa. SF Pvt Ltd, Islamabad	Item No. 77 I.V. Set	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 77.
05	M/S Rehman Rainbow Pvt Ltd, Lahore	Item No. 77 I.V. Set	Non responsive due to Drug Registration not attached	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 77.
06	M/S Popular International, Multan	Item No. 69 Glucometer Strips	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 69.
08	M/S Care & Cure International, RYK	Item No. 42 Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle Item No. 43 Disposable Syringe 20cc	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user. Non provision of sample	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re- evaluation report approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 42 & 43.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
09	M/S Star Traders, Bwp	<p>Item No. 18 & 19 Colostomy Bags ē Wafers & Clips (Complete Set) 57mm & 70mm</p> <p>Item No. 34 Disposable Gown (with Towel)</p> <p>Item No. 120 Disposable latex surgical gloves sterilized (powder free)</p> <p>Item No. 119 Surgical Gloves Sterile Size 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8</p> <p>Item No. 85 Latex Gloves without powder</p>	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	<p>The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.</p> <p>Pleaded against M/S Maz International, Lahore quoted brand Handex Gloves is not register from DRAP</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided ;-</p> <p>For item No. 18 & 19 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report (sample rejected it), the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 34 as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 119 & 120 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive.</p> <p>For item No. 85 rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Maz International, as the Latex Examination Gloves without powder for in the class A of Medical Devices and exempted from MDR till 31st December 2024 vide S.R.O 224(1)2023 dated 27-02-2023.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
14	M/S Hospital Services & Sales, Karachi	Item No. 41 Auto disable Disposable Syringe 05cc ē Needle	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 41.
16	M/S Meher Traders, Karachi	Item No. 26 Disposable Caps	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & registration not attached	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided:- For item No. 26 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 32 as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
		Item No. 32 Disposable Gloves Polyethylene,	Sample rejected by end user & FSC, Establishment certificate not attached		
		Item No. 119 Surgical Gloves Sterile	Sample rejected by end user	For item No. 119. Pleaded against M/S Aqib Trading Company, have no previous reference, so please recheck the company samples and documents	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. As per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 119 rejected the firm presentation as M/S Aqib Trading company, has attached business history for last 03 financial year in their technical bid and also provided the same against response of grievance. Note: the objection raise by M/S Meher Traders were bas less and wasteful of precious time and resources. The committee decided to issue the warning letter to M/S Meher Traders, Karachi

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
17	M/S Al-Hamd Enterprises, Karachi	Item No. 73, 74, 75, & 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22 & 24. Item No. 84 Latex Examination Gloves Item No. 119 Surgical Gloves Sterile	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 73, 74, & 75 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report approved the sample. Hence committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 76 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report (sample rejected it), the committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 84 as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 119 as per expert opinion of end user rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
		Item No. 20 Cord Clamp		Pleaded against M/S Unisa Pvt Ltd, they do not fulfill the criteria of MDD/CE Certificate from Europe Nandos bodies kindly check their CE Certificate	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee found that product name was not mentioned in quality certificate, So M/S Unisa Pvt Ltd, is declared non responsive for Item No. 20 Cord Clamp.
		Item No. 73, 74, 75, & 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22 & 24.		Pleaded against M/S Lasani Health Care, they do not fulfill the criteria of MDD/CE Certificate from Europe Nandos bodies, their CE Certificate ISSUANCE BODY IS “ICA” and certificate issued in Pakistan	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee found that European quality certificate does not required for local manufacturers. So committee rejected the firm presentation pleaded against M/S Lasani Health Care.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
17	M/S Al-Hamd Enterprises, Karachi	<p>Item No. 66 Foley's Catheter Two Way Sizes 12-22</p> <p>Item No. 73, 74, 75, & 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22 & 24.</p> <p>Item No. 89 Micro Burette 100ml</p>		<p>Pleaded against M/S Superior Healthcare International, quoted brand which does not have the registration under DRAP medical device rule 2017</p> <p>The I.V Cannula as registered on 18-12-2023 while tender was opened on 03-04-2024 which does not fulfill the criteria</p> <p>Micro Burette was registered on 05-09-2-23 while tender was opened on 03-04-2024 which does not fulfill the criteria</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the presentation pleaded against of firm, as Foley's Catheter falls under class B & exempted from registration till 31st December 2024 vide S.R.O 224(1)2023 dated 27-02-2023.</p> <p>The committee rejected the firm presentation pleaded against, as M/S Superior Healthcare International, has attached business history of one financial year in their technical Bid as well as response against Grievance.</p>
		<p>Item No. 73, 74, 75, & 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 18, 20, 22 & 24.</p>		<p>Pleaded against M/S Usman Co International, Their manufacturer name is different with their registration letter and ISO 13485 and CE Certificate</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation plea against, as both address of the Manufacturer were found correct.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
17	M/S Al-Hamd Enterprises, Karachi	Item No. 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 24.		Pleaded Against M/S B.Braun Pakistan, their quoted brand Introcann I.V Cannula does not fulfill the advertise specification.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the plea against the For item No. 76 cannula No. 24 quoted by M/S B.Braun not as per specs, declared non responsive.
		Item No. 89 Micro Burette 100ml		Pleaded Against M/S Nisa SF Pvt Ltd, they do not fulfill the criteria of MDD/CE Certificate from Europe Nandos bodies, kindly check the CE certificate	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee found that European quality certificate does not required for local manufacturers. So committee rejected the firm presentation plea against M/S Nisa SF Pvt Ltd.
		Note: the objection raise by M/S Al Hamd Enterprises, were bas less and wasteful of precious time and resources. The committee decided to issue the warning letter to M/S Al Hamd Enterprises, Karachi			
18	M/S Superior Healthcare International, Faisalabad	Item No. 10 Blood Bag 450ml, 500ml Item No. 36 Disposable Oxygen Mask Item No. 131 Urine Bag for Adult	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 10 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report sample approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 36 & 131 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive.
19	M/S Zainabain Enterprises, Faisalabad	Item No. 41 Auto disable Disposable Syringe 05cc ē Needle Item No. 42 Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle Item No. 43 Disposable Syringe 20cc ē Needle	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user. Non provision of sample.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 41 & 42 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 43 accepted the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
20	M/S Clifton Enterprises, Lahore	Item No. 11 Blood Transfusion Set Item No. 95 Nelton Catheter No. 6 to 18	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 11 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 95 sent the sample to end user for re- evaluation, as per re-evaluation report approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 95.
21	M/S Econ & Co, Lahore	Item No. 38 Disposable Syringe 01cc ē Needle Item No. 44 Disposable Syringe 50cc ē Needle Item No. 39 Disposable Syringe 01cc Insulin ē Needle Item No. 42 Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle Item No. 43 Disposable Syringe 20cc ē Needle Item No. 45 Disposable Syringe 60cc ē Nozzle Item No. 89 Micro Burette 100ml	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & Expired Establishment certificate Non responsive due to Expired Establishment certificate	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 38 & 44 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 39, 42, 43, 45 & 89 the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
22	M/S Endoaid Biomedica, Lahore	Item No. 13 BP Blade (Carbon Coated) All Sizes Item No. 69 Glucometer Strips	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user. Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user and not attached Free Sale certificate & quality certificate.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. As per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 13 & 69.
27	M/S Unisa Private Limited, KPK	Item No. 40 Disposable Syringe 03cc ē Needle Item No. 41 Auto disable Disposable Syringe 05cc ē Needle Item No. 42 Disposable Syringe 10cc ē Needle Item No. 77 I.V. Set Item No.78 I.V. Set with Extra Port	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 40 & 41 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 42, 77 & 78 As per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
28	M/S Usmanco International, Karachi	Item No. 29 Disposable Chest Tube All Sizes Item No. 76 I.V. Cannula ē Port & Wings No. 24	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:-</p> <p>For item No. 29 As per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 76 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive for item No. 76.</p>
				<p>Pleaded against M/S Lasani Health care, The firm did not have three years experience as per evaluation criteria mentioned in bidding document.</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details.. The condition three years experience was not included in knock down criteria. Hence the committee rejected the presentation plea against.</p>
				<p>Pleaded against M/S Superior Healthcare International, The firm did not have three years experience as per evaluation criteria mentioned in bidding document. Quoted brand does not comply with the advertised specification</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The condition for three year experience was not included in knock down criteria, business history attached in bidding documents. Hence the committee rejected the presentation plea against.</p>
		<p>Note: the objection raise by M/S Usmanco International, were bas less and wasteful of precious time and resources. The committee decided to issue the warning letter to M/S Usmanco International, Karachi</p>			

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
29	M/S Lasani Health Care, KPK	Item No. 41 Auto disable Disposable Syringe 05cc ē Needle Item No. 77 I.V. Set	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 41 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report approved the sample. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. For item No. 77 As per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

MINUTES OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING FOR REDRESSAL OF GRIEVANCES OF DIFFERENT FIRMS AGAINST TENDER OF SURGICAL DISPOSABLE ITEMS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2024-25 HELD ON 28-08-2024 AT 10:00 AM IN THE MEETING ROOM PURCHASE CELL QUAID-E-AZAM MEDICAL COLLEGE B.V.HOSPITAL, BAHAWALPUR.

Presentations of the different firms were discussed as per agenda & decisions were made accordingly.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
04	M/S Gulfam Brothers, Lahore	Item No. 46 DJ Stent 4.7FR ē Guide Wire Item No. 47 DJ Stent 6FR ē Guide Wire One End Open Item No. 48 DJ Stent 6FR ē Guide Wire Item No. 49 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr × 16cm Item No.50 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr × 18cm	Non responsive due to registration not attached.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	Pending
04	M/S Gulfam Brothers, Lahore	Item No. 46 DJ Stent 4.7FR ē Guide Wire Item No. 47 DJ Stent 6FR ē Guide Wire One End Open Item No. 49 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr × 16cm Item No.50 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr × 18cm Item No. 97 PCN Set		Pleaded against M/S Allmed Solution, Karachi, has quoted Amecath, Egypt for DJ Stents and PCN Set which are not brand name on their FSC. Pleaded against M/S Star Traders, has quoted Medpro for DJ Stents which are not brand name on their FSC.	Pending

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
07	M/S 4A International, Rwp	Item No. 21 Closed Suction Catheter Item No. 83 Laryngeal Mask Item No. 108 Re-Breathing Bag 2 Liter	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report rejected the sample by end user. The Committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 21, 83 & 108.
09	M/S Star Traders, Bwp	Item No.50 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr × 18cm Item No. 115 Spinal Needle Item No. 123 Tru-cut Biopsy Needle G#16	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 123 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report rejected the sample by end user. The Committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 123. For Item No. 50 Pending For Item No. 115 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report rejected the sample by end user. The Committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee for item No. 115.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
10	M/S Allmed Solutions, Karachi	Item No. 128 TUR Loop # 24FR Wolf Single Stem and karl Storz	Non responsive due to non provision of sample, Drug Registration, manufacturer specification, FSC & Quality certificate not attached.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	Pending
		Item No. 46 DJ Stent 4.7FR e Guide Wire Item No. 47 DJ Stent 6FR e Guide Wire One End Open Item No. 49 DJ Stent 3.7 Fr x 16cm	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	Pending
		Item No. 118 Superapubic Catheterization Set	Non responsive due to drug registration not attached	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 118.
		Item No. 105 & 106 Permacath for Haemodialysis Adult & Paeds	Non responsive due to drug registration not attached	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
11	M/S Flowtronix Systems, Rwp	Item No. 14 C.V.P Line (Double Lumen) ē Seldinger Wire (All Sizes) Item No. 15 C.V.P Line (Single Lumen) ē Seldinger Wire (All Sizes)	Non responsive due to non provision of samples.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration along with sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
12	M/S Ali Gohar & Company Pvt Ltd, Bwp	Item No. 59 Epidural Set ē Catheter All Sizes Item No. 103 Pressure Tube 150cm (Single Ended)	Non responsive due to non provision of samples & Drug registration not attached. non provision of samples.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration along with sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
13	M/S Optimus Entrepot, Lahore	Item No. 82 Intraocular Lens (foldable)	Non responsive due to FSC, Quality Certificate & Affidavit not attached.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for item No. 82.
15	M/S Total Technology, Rwp	Item No. 117 Sterilization Integrator	Non responsive due to non provision of samples.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for reconsideration	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that sent the sample to end user for re- evaluation, as per re-evaluation report sample approved it. Hence committee declared the firm responsive.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
23	M/S Saru Traders, Bahawalpur	Item No. 12 Bone Wax (in Dozen) Item No. 116 Sponge Stone	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 12 As per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. For item No. 116 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report sample rejected it. The committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.
24	M/S Mughees Medicine Company, Bwp	Item No. 113 Skin Stapler Item No. 125 Three Way Stopper	Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user.	The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample. Pleaded against M/S Star Traders, check the FSC with same brand name.	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:- For item No. 113 committee has rechecked the sample & approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive. M/S Star Traders, has already non responsive for item No. 125. The status remain same. So committee rejected the firm presentation Plea against.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
25	M/S Iqbal & Company, Islamabad	Item No. 14 C.V.P Line (Double Lumen) ē Seldinger Wire (All Sizes)		<p data-bbox="1196 124 1547 379">Pleaded Against M/S Flowtronix Systems, Rwp Is not the sole distributor for the quoted brand as this is being marketed by various companies in Pakistan.</p> <p data-bbox="1196 437 1547 692">Pleaded Against M/S Cardiac Care, The quoted item is already rejected but we want to re-emphasize that quoted item is not as per specification.</p> <p data-bbox="1196 750 1547 893">Pleaded Against M/S Allmed Solution, The product not registered with DRAP</p> <p data-bbox="1196 1005 1547 1228">Pleaded Against M/S UDL Distribution Pvt Ltd, Request to recheck the samples from end user in light of FDA recall</p>	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
25	M/S Iqbal & Company, Islamabad	Item No. 105 & 106 Permacath for Haemodialysis Adult & Paeds		<p>Pleaded Against M/S Cardiac Care,</p> <p>No product experience in Govt No one year experience after registration which is violation Registration is must being a schedule D item and this item is in schedule D list and is not registered</p> <p>Pleaded Against M/S Allmed Solution,</p> <p>No product experience in Govt No one year experience after registration which is violation Registration is must being a schedule D item and this item is in schedule D list and is not registered Offered just one sizes 19cm or 23cm whereas tender requirement is of complete adult range in which many other lengths come.</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.</p> <p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.</p>
		Note: the objection raise by M/S Iqbal & Company, were bas less and wasteful of precious time and resources. The committee decided to issue the warning letter to M/S Iqbal & Company, Islamabad			

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
26	M/S Life Cares, Karachi	<p>Item No. 65 Foley's Catheter Three Way Sizes 20-24</p> <p>Item No. 66 Foley's Catheter Two Way Sizes 12-22</p> <p>Item No. 67 Foley's Catheter Two Way Sizes 6-10</p> <p>Item No. 118 Superapubic Catheterization Set</p> <p>Item No. 146 Soda Lime 4-8 Mesh Size (Imported) 4.5Kg / 5Liter</p>	<p>Non responsive due to sample rejected by end user & not attached quality certificate</p> <p>Affidavit not attached & not attached Free sale certificate</p> <p>not attached Free sale certificate</p> <p>not attached Free sale certificate & Drug registration.</p> <p>not attached Free sale certificate</p>	<p>The firm submitted grievance & requested for re-evaluate the sample.</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:-</p> <p>For Item No. 65 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For Item No. 66 & 67 the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive for above said items.</p> <p>For Item No. 118 the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive.</p> <p>For Item No. 146 the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
30	M/S Cardiac Care, Lahore	<p>Item No. 04 Arterial transducer & Line for ABP Monitoring (All sizes, Machine Compatible) #38</p> <p>Item No. 14 C.V.P Line (Double Lumen) e Seldinger Wire (All Sizes)</p> <p>Item No. 24 Disposable Breathing Circuits with Water Trap Adult for Ventilator</p> <p>Item No. 36 Disposable Oxygen Mask</p> <p>Item No. 64 Fibrin Glue</p> <p>Item No. 87 Liga Clip LT300 / LT400</p>	<p>Non responsive due to Drug registration not attached</p> <p>Non provision of sample</p> <p>due to Drug registration is applied & sample rejected by end user</p> <p>Sample rejected by end user</p> <p>Sample rejected by end user</p>	<p>The firm submitted grievance & requested for</p> <p>For item No. 87 Pleaded against M/S Mughees Medicine, quoted brand have no market experience. Brand Not registered with DRAP.</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:-</p> <p>For Item No. 04 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For Item No. 14 as per medical device ACT 2017 CVP line Double Lumen were included in schedule-D / Class-D and there was no exemption of registration for schedule-D / Class-D items. Furthermore the 04 other firms quoted CVP Line Double Lumen and had registration of this item in class-D of medical devices, while M/S Cardiac Care, submitted registration in class-B of medical devices and same could not verified from DRAP Site. the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For Item No. 24 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, report sample rejected by end user. So Committee upheld the decision of technical advisory committee. as per re-evaluation</p> <p>For Item No. 36 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 64 & 87 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, the firm non provision of sample for re-evaluation. the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For Item No. 87 committee rechecked the document the quoted items register with DRAP and registration attached in technical bid. The committee rejected the firm presentation Plea against.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
30	M/S Cardiac Care, Lahore	<p>Item No. 88 Manometer Line Item No. 90 Mucous Extractor Item No. 91 Nasal Prongs for Oxygen Adult/ Paeds / Neonatal</p> <p>Item No. 89 Micro Burette 100ml</p> <p>Item No. 105 & 106 Permacath for Haemodialysis Adult & Paeds</p> <p>Item No. 130 Under Water Seal e Connector and Bottle e Plastic Pipe 2 Meter</p>	<p>Sample rejected by end user</p> <p>Non responsive due to FSC & Quality certificate id expired</p> <p>Sample rejected by end user</p> <p>due to Drug registration & Quality certificate not attached</p>	<p>The firm submitted grievance & requested for</p>	<p>The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The committee decided that:-</p> <p>For item No. 88 & 91 sent the sample to end user for re-evaluation, as per re-evaluation report sample approved it. Hence the committee declared the firm responsive.</p> <p>For item No. 90 rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 89 the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive.</p> <p>For item No. 105 & 106 as per expert opinion of end user the committee rejected the firm presentation & upheld the decision of technical advisory committee.</p> <p>For item No. 130 the committee accepted the firm presentation & declared the firm responsive.</p>

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
31	M/S Sadqain Health Care Pvt Ltd, Rwp	Item No. 01 Airways All Sizes (Size 0 to 5)		Pleaded against M/S Hakimsons, & M/S Cardiac Care, Check the market history, EEC certificate	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.
		Item No. 21 Closed Suction Catheter		Pleaded against M/S Noor International, & M/S Cardiac Care, No market experience & no quality certificate	
		Item No. 35 Disposable Nebulizer Kit		Pleaded against M/S Superior Healthcare International, M/S Hakimsons Pvt Ltd, M/S Clifton Enterprises, M/S Meher Traders, M/S Cardiac Care, Wast experience & prime quality, no market experience	
31	M/S Sadqain Health Care Pvt Ltd, Rwp	Item No. 36 Disposable Oxygen Mask		Pleaded against M/S Hakimsons Pvt Ltd, M/S Meher Traders, Wast experience & prime quality, no market experience	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.
		Item No. 83 Laryngeal Mask No. 1, 2, 3, 4 (12 Each)		Pleaded against M/S Hakimsons Pvt Ltd, Review the quality certificate & market business history	

Sr No.	Name of Firm	C.S Items #	Decision of TAC	Grievances	Decision
		Item No. 146 Soda Lime 4-8 Mesh Size (Imported) 4.5Kg / 5Liter		Pleaded against M/S 4A International, No DRAP registration, No valid certification	The Committee securitized the record and discussed the matter in details. The Plea of firm was rejected because no representative of the firm was present at the schedule date and time of Meeting.
		Note: the objection raise by M/S Sadqain Health Care Pvt Ltd,, were bas less and wasteful of precious time and resources. The committee decided to issue the warning letter to M/S Sadqain Health Care Pvt Ltd, Rwp			